


Large-scale EE and τ

Jo Dunkley
University of Oxford




Effect of reionization on the CMB




What reionization questions?

- When does the entire volume of the inter-galactic medium become filled with ionized gas?
- How extended is the reionization process?
- What does this tell us about the first generation of ionizing sources, and on the surrounding IGM, including the impact of feedback

Current CMB polarization data



Large-scale E-mode data



$\tau = 0.078 \pm 0.019$ (Planck-2015 +LFI-pol)


$\tau = 0.071 \pm 0.013$ (Planck-2015 +WMAP-pol)

Current tightest limits from WMAP (+Planck dust: down ~ 1 -sigma with better dust removal)

LFI 70 GHz consistent but larger errors; Planck HFI analysis underway - see Monday talks!

Systematics are challenging.


Foregrounds matter for EE too



Typically subtract global synchrotron and dust templates from the CMB bands

Foregrounds matter for EE too

Galactic foreground uncertainty still not fully understood/characterized for cleaning WMAP Q/U maps




Worries with current model

- use spatially invariant scaling from global synchrotron and dust templates
- ignore scale-dependent spatial correlation between dust and pol
- ignore polarized AME


What is the 'correct' current constraint on optical depth?

What would we like to measure?


Q signal sim





WMAP5 Q data



'Planck' Q recovered sim (Blue book white noise)



This is better than current data.
Even beyond this would like to
lower noise and lower
foreground uncertainty



Improvements on tau/As

Sigma(tau) from large-scale EE:

2013 WMAP ≥ 0.013


2015 Planck LFI ≥ 0.019

2016? Planck HFI ≥ 0.005

2025? 'CV' sigma ≥ 0.002



This will be a valuable measurement for the reionization community

Primordial amplitude A_s :
similar scaling



Measuring reionization history

CMBPol White Paper, Zaldarriaga et al 2008




- Can distinguish very different durations, but not strong constraint on duration (and depends on actual value of tau) - kSZ will do that better
- Could make at least two-bin measurements of optical depth
- How many principal components? 1-2 for WMAP, 2-3 for Planck-HFI?, 4-5 for CV

To use growth of structure, want primordial amplitude


Structure probes like CMB lensing measure amplitude of structure at late times.

For example, neutrino mass suppresses structure. Also curvature and $w \approx -1$.

Constraints limited by knowledge of primordial amplitude, as non- Λ CDM effects mostly look like a change in amplitude.




Impact of tau on neutrino mass forecasts



Improved tau measurement could halve (or more) constraints on late-time parameters
Similar degeneracies seen for e.g. curvature/dark energy/anything that affects growth.

Q. Are our standards different for fundamental physics parameters versus 'astrophysical' parameters?

How low in ℓ do we need?





- Need to get to large scales, but $\ell \sim 10-15$ is pretty good. However, that is a challenge from the ground or from balloons. NB. Planck-pol' is not at this level yet
- If you want to improve neutrino mass measurements beyond nominal S3 levels, an improved tau measurement helps **more** than decreasing small-scale Q/U noise below 10 $\mu\text{K}/\text{arcmin}$


Prospects from Atacama Desert

CLASS Survey Boundary

Galactic Mask



Will tau be measured by 21cm before CMB?



Forecast for HERA from Liu et al 2015; in principle yes but foregrounds will be significant and field still developing.

Status/opinion

- Even if Planck had reached Blue-book noise levels, there would remain improvements to be made in large-scale EE (and TE). $\sigma(\tau) \rightarrow 0.002$. Current limits are $\sigma(\tau) \geq 0.013$.
- Planck has not yet demonstrated systematics-free large-scale polarisation performance from HFI, although see Monday's talks for an update.
- The large-scale foregrounds are still not fully characterized, even for EE.
- We need better tau measurement to fully exploit growth measurements for neutrino mass and curvature.
- There is also other interesting physics at $l < \sim 50$ scales that are hard to reach from the ground. See Cora's talk, plus isocurvature fluctuations that can be seen at large scales in EE.
- Ground- and balloon-based experiments may improve on Planck-tau before 2025 (CLASS, Spider). But, their frequency coverage will be limited and angular reach not yet known.
- ***We need a better $2 < l < \sim 50$ EE measurement. To make it robustly, we need multi-frequency data from space, designed to minimize large-scale polarization systematics. LiteBIRD is already more than a 1-parameter experiment.***